Kevin Perlman
26500 Agoura Road
Calabasas, CA 91302

312-259-3686
Kevin@KevinPerelman.com

Date: 3/19/2026

Office for Civil Rights
Office of Justice Programs
U.S. Department of Justice
810 7th Street NW
Washington, DC 20531

Re: OCR Complaint Submission – Case No. 26-OCR-0792

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please find enclosed my completed Complaint Verification Form and Consent/Identity Release Form in response to your request regarding the above-referenced matter.

Also enclosed is **Attachment A – Detailed Statement**, which provides a comprehensive description of the facts, pattern of conduct, and impact underlying my complaint.

Please confirm receipt of these materials and advise if any additional information is required.

Sincerely,

Kevin Perlman



Office for Civil Rights
Office of Justice Programs
U.S. Department of Justice

COMPLAINT VERIFICATION INFORMATION

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) enforces federal civil rights laws and other provisions that prohibit discrimination by recipients of federal financial assistance from the Office of Justice Programs, the Office on Violence Against Women, the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, and other covered entities. Applicable laws may prohibit discrimination based on race, color, national origin, or disability, and in specific instances, age, sex, or religion. Any complaint filed with OCR is evaluated to determine whether the office has jurisdiction (or authority) over the complaint and whether the complaint provides enough information to establish an initial claim of discrimination or retaliation. OCR does not have jurisdiction over complaints about general conditions or misconduct that is not discrimination.

OCR is a neutral, fact-finding office and separate from the litigating components of the U.S. Department of Justice's Civil Rights Division. OCR will only investigate complaints when authorized to do so by law and will close any complaints it does not have jurisdiction to investigate (except for when referral to another federal agency or office is appropriate). Complaints of discrimination must ordinarily be filed within 180 days or one year (depending on the type of complaint) of the last act of discrimination. An OCR attorney may contact you about your complaint, but please note that they are not your lawyer and communications with them are not subject to attorney-client privilege. OCR attorneys cannot represent individuals, give legal advice, or file lawsuits or appeals on any individual's behalf. If the allegations in a complaint are already the subject of a lawsuit, OCR will close the complaint or defer any action on it pending resolution of the lawsuit.

Note: You should have received an Identity Release Statement with this form. Please complete and return **both forms**.

1. **Your name:**
First Name: Kevin Last Name: Perelman
Address: 26500 Agoura Rd, STE 102
City: Calabasas State: Ca Zip Code: 91302
Telephone Number(s): 312-259-3686
Email Address: Kevin@KevinPerelman.com

2. **Are you filing this complaint for someone else?** Yes: ___ No: ✓

If yes, please provide the name of the individual whose rights you believe were violated?
First Name: _____ Last Name: _____
Address: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____
Telephone Number(s): _____
Email Address: _____

3. **Who or what agency or organization discriminated against you?**
Name: Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD), including Officer Charles Sean Dinse, and related law enforcement personnel and oversight entities.
Address: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____
Telephone Number(s): _____
Email Address: _____

4. Which of the following describes the nature of the discrimination involved?

Race/Color (specify): _____

National Origin (specify): _____

✓ Religion (specify): Religion (Jewish)

Age (specify): _____

✓ Disability (specify):r Disability (perceived mental health condition, including mischaracterization of minor trichotillomania)

Sex (specify): _____

5. Does your claim of discrimination involve:

a. You using facilities or someone providing services/protection to you (or others)?	**OR** b. Your job or seeking employment?
If yes, how?	If yes, which of the following apply?
Brutality/Excessive Force ✓	Hiring ✓
Harassment ✓	Work Assignment
Language ✓	Promotion
Applying rules/laws differently ✓	Demotion
Access to buildings/programs	Discipline
Retaliation ✓	Layoff/Recall
Different standards/opportunities/programs ✓	Retaliation ✓
Segregation ✓	Termination ✓
Other (Specify) Continual redicule, illegal arrests, almost all social environments, Refusal to provide services (failure to take police reports	Other (Specify)

6. When did the most recent act of discrimination against you take place?

Generally, OCR has authority to examine acts of potential disability discrimination that occurred within 180 days, or in some matters, one year of filing this complaint.

Beginning: Month ____ Day ____ Year __found out in 2001

Ending: Month ____ Day ____ Year ____Ongoing / Present

Note: If you choose to attach additional pages to fully respond to the questions below, please still provide a short summary in each allotted box below.

7. Please explain in detail specifically what happened and how you believe you were discriminated against. Please clearly state who was involved in the alleged incident and provide examples of how persons were treated differently as compared to you. Please be certain your response is clear, detailed, legible and include example and written documents or materials to support your claim(s). If more space is needed, please continue your response on a separate page.

I have experienced repeated differential treatment by law enforcement and within the judicial process based on perceived mental health status rather than my actual conduct.

This has included refusal to take police reports, escalation of routine interactions, threats of involuntary confinement, and approximately four arrests based on mischaracterized allegations. In these situations, my behavior was interpreted differently than that of others, and relevant evidence was not fully considered.

At least one identified officer, Charles Sean Dinse (LAPD), was directly involved in incidents including a forced mental health detention and threatening statements.

I have also experienced difficulty obtaining transcripts necessary for appeals and limitations in presenting evidence in court proceedings associated with the Van Nuys courthouse.

A detailed description of incidents, individuals involved, and supporting information is provided in

Attachment A – Detailed Statement.

8. Please clearly explain why you believe this occurred.

I believe this occurred because I have been labeled as mentally ill and treated differently based on that perception.

This perception has influenced how law enforcement and others interpret my behavior, leading to escalation of interactions, refusal to provide services such as taking police reports, and reliance on mental health assumptions rather than objective conduct.

I also believe that once I began questioning and challenging this treatment, the level of hostility and escalation increased, which appears consistent with retaliation or heightened scrutiny.

9. If this complaint is resolved to your satisfaction, what remedy do you seek? Please be aware that OCR may resolve a complaint in a way that comports with the law but involve your desired remedy.

I am seeking a fair and impartial investigation into the conduct described in my complaint, including review of whether I was subjected to unequal treatment based on perceived mental health status.

I request that appropriate corrective actions be taken to ensure that I receive equal access to law enforcement services, including the acceptance of police reports and proper investigation of incidents.

I also request review of whether mental health assumptions improperly influenced law enforcement actions, judicial proceedings, and access to transcripts necessary for appeals.

Additionally, I seek assurance that I will not be subjected to retaliation or further unequal treatment for filing this complaint.

Finally, I request that any necessary policy changes, training, or oversight measures be implemented to prevent similar issues from occurring in the future.

10. **Please list below any persons (witnesses, fellow employees, supervisors, or others) whom we might contact for additional information to support or clarify your complaint. Are there other persons or organizations involved in this discrimination case?**

    If yes, list the names, addresses, and telephone numbers below:
    Witnesses and supporting individuals can be provided upon request.

    Name: _____
    Address: _____
    City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____
    Telephone Number: _____
    Email Address: _____

    Name: _____
    Address: _____
    City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____
    Telephone Number: _____
    Email Address: _____

    Name: _____
    Address: _____
    City: _____ State: ____ Zip Code: _____
    Telephone Number: _____
    Email Address: _____

11. **You do not need a lawyer to file a complaint with OCR; however, if you do have a lawyer, OCR is required to communicate directly with your lawyer. If you have a lawyer representing you in this matter, please provide the lawyer's contact information.**

    Name: _____
    Address: _____
    City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____
    Telephone Number: _____
    Email Address: _____

12. **Have you filed a case or complaint about the allegation(s) you raise in this complaint with any other agency?**

Name of Agency: Complaints have been filed with multiple agencies, including the California State Bar and federal agencies.

Status: Ongoing,

Date Filed: Ongoing

Case or Docket Number: _____

Date of Trial or Hearing: _____

Location of Agency or Court:

Name of Investigator: _____

Status of Case:

Additional Comments: _____

_____

_____

DATE: 3/19/2026 SIGNED: _____

Please return this form and the Identity Release Statement to:

Office for Civil Rights
Office of Justice Programs
U.S. Department of Justice
999 N. Capitol Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20531

Email:
askOCR@ojp.usdoj.gov

Website:
www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ocr

Telephone: (202) 307-0690
TTY: (202) 307-2027
Fax: 202-354-4380

COMPLAINANT CONSENT/IDENTITY RELEASE FORM

Your Name: Kevin Perelman

Address: 26500 Agoura Rd, STE 102

Calabasas State CA Zip 91302

Complaint number(s): (if known) 26-OCR-0792, could be more

Supporting documentation, records, and identifying information for involved parties are included and can be further supplemented upon request.

Please read the information below, check the appropriate box, and sign this form.

I have read the Notice of Investigatory Uses of Personal Information by the Department of Justice (DOJ). As a complainant, I understand that in the course of an investigation it may become necessary for DOJ to reveal my identity to persons at the organization or institution under investigation. I am also aware of the obligations of DOJ to honor requests under the Freedom of Information Act. I understand that it may be necessary for DOJ to disclose information, including personally identifying details, which it has gathered as a part of its investigation of my complaint. In addition, I understand that as a complainant I am protected by DOJ's regulations from intimidation or retaliation for having taken action or participated in action to secure rights protected by nondiscrimination statutes enforced by DOJ.

CONSENT/RELEASE

☑ CONSENT - I have read and understand the above information and authorize DOJ to reveal my identity to persons at the organization or institution under investigation. I hereby authorize the Department of Justice (DOJ) to receive material and information about me pertinent to the investigation of my complaint. This release includes, but is not limited to, personal records and medical records. I understand that the material and information will be used for authorized civil rights compliance and enforcement activities. I further understand that I am not required to authorize this release, and do so voluntarily.

☐ CONSENT DENIED - I have read and understand the above information and do not want DOJ to reveal my identity to the organization or institution under investigation, or to review, receive copies of, or discuss material and information about me, pertinent to the investigation of my complaint. I understand this is likely to impede the investigation of my complaint and may result in the closure of the investigation.

[signature] 3/19/2026

SIGNATURE DATE

NOTICE ABOUT INVESTIGATORY USES OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

NOTICE OF COMPLAINANT/INTERVIEWEE RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES

Complainants and individuals who cooperate in an investigation, proceeding or hearing conducted by DOJ are afforded certain rights and protections. This brief description will provide you with an overview of these rights and protections.

- A recipient may not force its employees to be represented by the recipient's counsel nor may it intimidate, threaten, coerce or discriminate against any employee who refuses to reveal to the recipient the content of an interview. An employee does, however, have the right to representation during an interview with DOJ. The representative may be the recipient's counsel, the employee's private counsel, or anyone else the interviewee authorizes to be present.

- The laws and regulations which govern DOJ's compliance and enforcement authority provide that no recipient or other person shall intimidate, threaten, coerce or discriminate against any individual because he/she has made a complaint, testified, assisted or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing conducted under DOJ's jurisdiction, or has asserted rights protected by statutes DOJ enforces.

- Information obtained from the complainant or other individual which is maintained in DOJ's investigative files may be exempt from disclosure under the Privacy Act or under the Freedom of Information Act if release of such information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

There are two laws governing personal information submitted to any Federal agency, including the Department of Justice (DOJ): The Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. § 552a), and the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552).

THE PRIVACY ACT protects individuals from misuse of personal information held by the Federal Government. The law applies to records that are kept and that can be located by the individual's name or social security number or other personal identification system. Persons who submit information to the government should know that:

- DOJ is required to investigate complaints of discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability, age, and, in some instances, religion against recipients of Federal financial assistance. DOJ also is authorized to conduct reviews of federally funded recipients to assess their compliance with civil rights laws.

- Information that DOJ collects is analyzed by authorized personnel within the agency. This information may include personnel records or other personal information. DOJ staff may need to reveal certain information to persons outside the agency in the course of verifying facts or gathering new facts to develop a basis for making a civil rights compliance determination. Such details could include the physical condition or age of a complainant. DOJ also may be required to reveal certain information to any individual who requests it under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. (See below)

- Personal information will be used only for the specific purpose for which it was submitted, that is, for authorized civil rights compliance and enforcement activities. Except in the instances defined in DOJ's regulation at 28 C.F.R. Part 16, DOJ will not release the information to any other agency or individual unless the person who supplied the information submits a written consent. One of these exceptions is when release is required under the Freedom of Information Act. (See below)

- No law requires a complainant to give personal information to DOJ, and no sanctions will be imposed on complainants or other individuals who deny DOJ's request. However, if DOJ fails to obtain information needed to investigate allegations of discrimination, it may be necessary to close the investigation.

- The Privacy Act permits certain types of systems of records to be exempt from some of its requirements, including the access provisions. It is the policy of DOJ to exercise authority to exempt systems of records only in compelling cases. DOJ may deny a complainant access to the files compiled during the agency investigation of his or her civil rights complaint against a recipient of Federal financial assistance. Complaint files are exempt in order to aid negotiations between recipients and DOJ in resolving civil rights issues and to encourage recipients to furnish information essential to the investigation.

- DOJ does not reveal the names or other identifying information about an individual unless it is necessary for the completion of an investigation or for enforcement activities against a recipient that violates the laws, or unless such information is required to be disclosed under FOIA or the Privacy Act. DOJ will keep the identity of complainants confidential except to the extent necessary to carry out the purposes of the civil rights laws, or unless disclosure is required under FOIA, the Privacy Act, or otherwise required by law.

<u>THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT</u> gives the public access to certain files and records of the Federal Government. Individuals can obtain items from many categories of records of the Government --not just materials that apply to them personally. DOJ must honor requests under the Freedom of Information Act, with some exceptions. DOJ generally is not required to release documents during an investigation or enforcement proceedings if the release could have an adverse effect on the ability of the agency to do its job. Also, any Federal agency may refuse a request for records compiled for law enforcement purposes if their release could be an "unwarranted invasion of privacy" of an individual. Requests for other records, such as personnel and medical files, may be denied where the disclosure would be a "clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy."

Attachment A – Detailed Statement
Re: Case No. 26-OCR-0792

Kevin Perlman
26500 Agoura Road
Calabasas, CA 91302

312-259-3686
Kevin@KevinPerelman.com

Date: 3/19/2026

Office for Civil Rights
Office of Justice Programs
U.S. Department of Justice
810 7th Street NW
Washington, DC 20531

Re: DOJ OCR Complaint – Case No. 26-OCR-0792

📄 **DOJ OCR Complaint**

---

1. Basis of Discrimination
I am Jewish and have been repeatedly labeled as mentally ill without a valid clinical basis. I have a history of mild trichotillomania beginning around age 12–13, which has been exaggerated and mischaracterized into severe mental illness.
These labels have been used to justify differential treatment by law enforcement and others.

---

2. Nature of Discrimination
This complaint involves discrimination in law enforcement services and access to public and judicial processes, including:
• Unequal treatment
• Harassment and retaliation
• Refusal to provide services
• Actions based on perceived mental illness

---

3. Description of What Happened
I have experienced a repeated pattern of being treated differently based on perceived mental illness rather than my actual behavior.

Normal, everyday activities are interpreted as evidence of instability or danger, while similar behavior by others does not result in the same treatment.

This has led to:
• Escalation of routine interactions
• Dismissal of my statements and evidence
• Threats of involuntary confinement
• Unequal enforcement of laws

---

4. Specific Incidents

Forced Mental Health Detention
I was forcibly placed into a mental health facility by LAPD, including Officer Charles Sean Dinse, based on alleged mental instability rather than objective conduct.

Police Threats and Intimidation
Statements made to me by law enforcement include:
• “We’re watching you, Perelman.”
• “If you ever take a picture of a person, I will exercise the law in my own way.”

Refusal to Take Police Reports / Failure to Investigate
Law enforcement has refused to take official reports regarding crimes committed against me and has failed to investigate incidents regardless of the circumstances.

Impact of Refusal to Take Reports
The refusal to take reports has contributed to escalation of situations that might otherwise have been resolved.

By not documenting incidents or addressing complaints, situations have been allowed to continue and escalate, which has in some cases resulted in law enforcement action against me.

This has placed me at a disadvantage compared to others who receive standard police services.

Arrests Based on Mischaracterized Allegations
I have been subjected to approximately four arrests based on inaccurate or mischaracterized allegations, often following provocation.

In these incidents:
• My actions were misrepresented
• Relevant evidence was not considered
• Situations were escalated unnecessarily
• Mental health assumptions influenced how events were interpreted

---

Discrimination in Public and Social Settings
I have experienced unequal treatment in public settings, including social gatherings and locations such as coffee shops, where I am questioned or treated differently while others are not.

---

5. Pattern of Conduct
Since approximately 1986, I have experienced an ongoing pattern involving:
• Repeated labeling of me as mentally ill without clinical basis
• Escalation of interactions based on that perception
• Provocation of situations leading to law enforcement involvement
• Mischaracterization of events in reports
• Refusal to provide standard law enforcement services

I have also experienced situations where my complaints to oversight bodies are minimized or reframed and not meaningfully addressed.

Escalation Over Time
I have observed that interactions have become more aggressive over time, particularly after I began questioning and challenging how I was being treated.

This has included:
• Increased hostility during interactions
• More frequent escalation of situations
• Greater reliance on mental health assumptions to justify actions

This escalation appears consistent with retaliation or increased scrutiny following my efforts to address and report these issues.

Connections to Individuals with Law Enforcement Backgrounds
I have observed that individuals from my personal or social environment have had connections to law enforcement or related security roles.

For example, an individual I knew growing up, Tom Humphrey, was associated with LAPD and security work. This connection is relevant because some of the treatment I have experienced appears to involve overlap between social interactions and law enforcement responses.

While I cannot fully determine the extent of these connections, the overlap has contributed to my concern that how I am perceived socially may be influencing how I am treated by law enforcement.

---

6. Judicial Process Concerns
I have been involved in multiple trials and appeals where I experienced consistent issues, including:
• Similar patterns of rulings and argument limitations across proceedings
• Defense counsel failing to challenge key issues or pursue relevant lines of questioning
• Inability to present evidence or arguments related to provocation, motive, or credibility of witnesses
• Claims and defenses being reduced or reframed

These issues were particularly noticeable in proceedings associated with the Van Nuys courthouse.

Relevant Case Numbers
• B343120 - Appeals
• LA099813 - Criminal
• 3PY03498 - Appeals



• 7VW04099 - Criminal
• 7VW05190 - Criminal

These cases reflect the repeated pattern described above.

---

7. Access to Transcripts and Court Records
I have experienced difficulty obtaining transcripts and court records necessary for appeals, including:
• Refusal or failure by clerks and/or court reporters to provide transcripts
• Delays or obstacles that interfered with my ability to pursue appellate review

Access to transcripts is essential for a fair and meaningful appeal. The inability to obtain these materials has limited my ability to challenge outcomes.

These issues appear consistent with the broader pattern in which my requests are not treated with the same seriousness as others, and where assumptions about my mental health affect how my requests are handled.

---

8. Oversight Complaints
I have filed complaints with oversight bodies, including the state bar and related agencies. In those processes:
• My arguments were altered or reduced in scope
• Key issues were not addressed
• Complaints were reframed in ways that did not reflect my actual claims

---

9. Reputation Harm and Social Impact

Public Dissemination and Online Impact
I have become aware of online content and public statements about me that portray me as dangerous or mentally unstable.

This includes:
• Online discussions, posts, and forums where my name is referenced
• Statements suggesting that others should be afraid of me or contact law enforcement
• Content that appears to encourage heightened scrutiny or fear in public settings

I have reason to believe that individuals, including at least one law enforcement officer (Officer Charles Sean Dinse), have contributed to or amplified these types of statements, including through social media.

As a result:
• I am treated differently in public environments
• People appear to react to me based on prior exposure to these statements rather than my

actual behavior
• These perceptions contribute to escalation of situations and involvement of law enforcement

---

Overall Impact on Life
As a result of these factors:
• My reputation has been significantly harmed
• I have difficulty participating in normal social environments
• I have experienced long-term isolation
• My ability to form normal personal and social relationships has been severely impacted

---

10. Impact
As a result of this pattern:
• I live in ongoing fear of being forcibly institutionalized
• I have been forced into isolation
• My complaints are not taken seriously
• I am denied equal protection and equal treatment under the law

---

11. Why I Believe This Occurred
I believe this occurred because I have been labeled as mentally ill and treated differently based on that perception.

These labels have been used to:
• Discredit me
• Justify unequal treatment
• Escalate law enforcement actions
• Influence how my claims are handled in both law enforcement and judicial settings

---

12. Remedy Requested
I am requesting:
• Investigation into the conduct of involved law enforcement personnel
• Review of refusal to take reports and failure to investigate
• Review of whether mental health assumptions influenced treatment and outcomes
• Protection of my rights to equal treatment under the law

Sincerely,

Kevin Perlman

